

## **EXHIBIT A**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

20-CR-440 (AMD)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

United States Courthouse  
Brooklyn, New York

-against-

August 24, 2023  
10:30 a.m.

RICHARD GARY,

Defendant.

-----x

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR SENTENCING  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANN M. DONNELLY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES

For the Government: BREON S. PEACE, ESQ.  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
Eastern District of New York  
271 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
BY: ANDREW GRUBIN, ESQ.  
Assistant United States Attorney

For the Defendant: FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK  
One Pierrepont Plaza  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
BY: KANNAN SUNDARAM, ESQ.

Also Present: JENNIFER BAUMANN, USPO

Court Reporter: AVERY N. ARMSTRONG, RPR, NYRCR  
Phone: 718-613-2419  
Fax: 718-613-2639  
Email: Aarm.edny@gmail.com

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript  
produced by computer-aided transcription.

1 (In open court.)

2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This is criminal cause for  
3 sentencing, docket number 20-CR-440, USA versus Richard Gary.

4 Counsel, state your appearance, Government first.

5 MR. GRUBIN: Good morning, Your Honor.

6 Andrew Grubin for the Government and...

7 MS. BAUMANN: Jennifer Baumann on behalf of the  
8 probation department.

9 Good morning, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Good morning.

11 MR. SUNDARAM: Kannan Sundaram, Federal Defenders of  
12 New York for Mr. Gary.

13 THE COURT: Good morning. Good morning, Mr. Gary.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.

15 THE COURT: All right. Just a reminder to both  
16 sides, make sure your microphones are on. Don't talk too  
17 fast.

18 Okay. So this is a sentencing proceeding. Mr. Gary  
19 pled guilty before Judge Mauskopf back in October of 2020, to  
20 the only count of an indictment that charges him with  
21 receiving approximately 481 counterfeited United States postal  
22 money orders with a total purported value of \$480,038 with the  
23 intent that they will be used as true and genuine in violation  
24 of 18 U.S.C. Section 473.

25 I'm going to go over the materials that I have

1 reviewed in preparation for the sentencing. I've obviously  
2 read the presentence investigation report, as well as the  
3 recommendation from probation as to sentence.

4 I'm assuming you have that, Mr. Sundaram; is that  
5 right?

6 MR. SUNDARAM: Yes.

7 THE COURT: The Government has it, too?

8 MR. GRUBIN: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Oh, you know what, I just want to  
10 ask a technical question. I just want to see the parties at  
11 the side. No need for the court reporter. Just for a second.

12 (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held at  
13 this time.)

14 THE COURT: All right. And I've received the  
15 objections from the Government and from Mr. Sundaram, to  
16 aspects of the presentence investigation report. There was  
17 also an addendum addressing the Government's objections from  
18 probation.

19 I did review the transcript of the plea before Judge  
20 Mauskopf, and then I also reviewed the recommendations or the  
21 positions of each party on what the sentence should be. And  
22 Mr. Sundaram's submission included a transcript of a  
23 sentencing proceeding before Judge Amon, which I also read.

24 I also neglected to ask Mr. Sundaram, does Mr. Gary  
25 have family in the courtroom?

## PROCEEDINGS

4

1 MR. SUNDARAM: Yes. In the -- seated on the bench  
2 is his son, also named Richard, and next to him is Charles  
3 Marcus who is a long-time friend of Mr. Gary's and also one of  
4 the sureties on the bond.

5 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to you.

6 MR. MARCUS: Good morning, Judge.

7 THE COURT: All right. I've kind of gone back and  
8 forth between what I have.

9 Did the parties agree that I have all the relevant  
10 material?

11 MR. GRUBIN: Yes, Your Honor.

12 MR. SUNDARAM: Yes.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Sundaram, I know you and Mr. Gary  
14 have reviewed the presentence report and discussed it; is that  
15 correct?

16 MR. SUNDARAM: Yes.

17 THE COURT: And is either side seeking an  
18 evidentiary hearing on any issue? From the Government?

19 You're not seeking an evidentiary hearing?

20 MR. GRUBIN: No, Your Honor.

21 MR. SUNDARAM: No.

22 THE COURT: All right. So what I'm going to do is  
23 go over the calculation of the advisory guideline range.  
24 There's a fairly, I think, interesting and somewhat  
25 complicated issue about the loss. I think this is largely an

1 academic discussion, but I am required to calculate the  
2 guideline range, so I'll go through that. And if the parties  
3 want to be heard on it further, I'll hear them.

4 But for this crime, there is a base offense level of  
5 seven, according to probation. Probation has added an  
6 18-point adjustment, because the offense involved counterfeit  
7 money orders with a total value of \$4,800,380 (sic) which  
8 represents intended loss. Probation also added two points  
9 because a substantial part of the scheme was committed from  
10 outside of the United States. There are additional two points  
11 added because the offense involved possession and trafficking  
12 of an authentication feature which is a hologram watermark.  
13 Probation subtracted two points because Mr. Gary was a minor  
14 participant in the offense. And so that leaves an adjusted  
15 offense level of 27.

16 So I don't know how much time you all want to spend  
17 on this, but there is -- starting with this question of what  
18 the appropriate base level should be. Probation calculated  
19 the base offense level at seven, under Section 2B1.1 of the  
20 guidelines, and then the Government takes the position that  
21 the loss -- the Section 2B5.1 should apply.

22 2B5.1 applies to counterfeit bearer obligations of  
23 the United States, while 2B1.1 applies to larceny,  
24 embezzlement, and other forms of theft, offenses involving  
25 stolen property, property damage or destruction, fraud and

1     deceit, forgery, and offenses involving altered or counterfeit  
2     instruments other than counterfeit bearer obligations of the  
3     United States.

4             I think probation has the better argument here  
5     because of the nature of what postal money orders are.  
6     They're like checks, and they're usually made out to a  
7     specific payee, and so I think that is a better fit. So I  
8     adopt probation's view of what the starting offense level  
9     should be.

10            The next question is this question of loss, and the  
11     Government and probation part company on how much an  
12     adjustment there should be. The Government -- probation takes  
13     the position that the intended loss here should be calculated,  
14     and that should be calculated based on not only what was  
15     recovered when Mr. Gary was arrested, but with the amount, in  
16     money orders, over the years, that he was involved in this  
17     scheme. It's complicated also by the fact that nobody seems  
18     to dispute that Mr. Gary says he didn't know that the money  
19     orders were counterfeit until 2018. And the Government takes  
20     a position that the calculation is just what he had, which was  
21     \$408,000.

22            Layered on top of all this is the Supreme Court's  
23     decision in Kisor, K-I-S-O-R, against Wilkie, W-I-L-K-I-E  
24     which is a 2019 case where the Supreme Court held that the  
25     Courts have to limit analysis to the text of the guidelines,

1 rather than the comments, and they can look only to the  
2 comments when the text of the guidelines is ambiguous. And  
3 Judge Amon had the opportunity to consider this recently. And  
4 this is all on the subject of whether intended loss is  
5 something that courts should consider. Intended loss is  
6 mentioned in the comments. Judge Amon said that the idea that  
7 loss means intended loss rather than actual loss is not the  
8 kind of ambiguity that the Supreme Court in Kisor was talking  
9 about.

10 I think Judge Amon's reasoning is correct for a lot  
11 of the reasons, the practical reasons that she cited in that  
12 opinion. And then the other issue here is that the --  
13 nobody's identified any actual loss. And so, as I said, this  
14 is largely an academic discussion, in my view, because I don't  
15 think this is a guidelines sentencing case. I think it's more  
16 of a statutory 3553(a) analysis. But for whatever it's worth,  
17 I think under Kisor, this idea of intended loss, at least for  
18 purposes of the guidelines, it adds something that the  
19 guidelines themselves don't say. Obviously, a court can  
20 consider what a defendant's intended loss was in considering  
21 the statutory factors. But I don't think, for purposes of the  
22 guidelines themselves, that that's what we should do.

23 So, in my view, the -- well, I should just ask. I  
24 think the answer is no. I don't think the Government can  
25 prove actual loss, can you?



## PROCEEDINGS

8

1 MR. GRUBIN: No, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. So I don't think I've missed  
3 anything here. But you can all -- Mr. Sundaram, do you have  
4 anything to add to this?

5 MR. SUNDARAM: No, I don't.

6 THE COURT: Don't want to snatch defeat from the  
7 jaws of victory?

8 MR. SUNDARAM: Exactly. Yes, that's the phrase I  
9 was looking for.

10 THE COURT: So I think the appropriate thing to do  
11 is start with a base level of seven, add nothing for loss,  
12 decrease -- I agree that with probation also that the  
13 defendant is eligible for that 2-point deduction for a minor  
14 role in the offense given the factual circumstances of this  
15 case, and then four points are added which the parties agree  
16 on too because the offense was committed outside of the U.S.  
17 and two, because of that authentication feature. And then  
18 take off two points for acceptance of responsibility which  
19 leaves a total offense level of seven, and a guideline range  
20 of zero to six months. I think the math is correct on that.  
21 And I say that because my law clerk did it. So if you had  
22 left it to me, I would not have gotten it right.

23 MS. BAUMANN: Just to add, I'm following along with  
24 Your Honor. That's the calculation I came up with, as well.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Good. All right. Great.

1           So with those adjustments, I otherwise accept the  
2 probation report. And I should also add which I didn't  
3 before, under probation's calculation, the guideline range,  
4 because Mr. Gary has a Criminal History Category of I, the  
5 guideline range is, under probation's calculation is 51 to  
6 63 months. So, with those modifications, I accept the  
7 probation report.

8           Anybody -- I know -- I think this is over at least  
9 somebody's objection. Is there anything else that you want to  
10 say about that?

11           MR. GRUBIN: No, Your Honor. I'll just say for the  
12 record, the only thing -- the Government would disagree on the  
13 application of Kisor, but I don't think there's a need to  
14 speak on that.

15           THE COURT: All right. Anything else you want to  
16 say, Mr. Sundaram, on that subject?

17           MR. SUNDARAM: No. I'm going to also quit while I'm  
18 ahead.

19           THE COURT: All right. So I have -- as I said  
20 before, I have reviewed the sentencing submissions, and I'll  
21 hear from the parties in the following order: First, from  
22 Mr. Sundaram, if there's anything else that you wish to say,  
23 then from the Government, and then Mr. Gary, if you have  
24 anything you want to say, I'll hear from you.

25           MR. SUNDARAM: So with respect to the appropriate

1 sentence, I guess in this case, other than the unaccustomed  
2 position of agreeing with the guidelines as a starting point.  
3 But, either way, I think this case is -- even just putting the  
4 guidelines aside, is a case where I don't think --  
5 respectfully disagree with the Government that a custodial  
6 sentence is necessary.

7 I mean, the Court has already discussed minor role.  
8 And I think I agree with probation that the minor role factor  
9 is also important in terms of the 3553 as a mitigating factor.  
10 There's clearly no need to incarcerate Mr. Gary to protect the  
11 public. He's been on supervision for about four years now,  
12 without incident, except for some occasional drug treatment  
13 issues which he's gotten under control to his credit. And he  
14 had no prior issues with the criminal justice system for, you  
15 know, 50 years. He's now about to turn 54. So, you know, I  
16 think it boils down to whether incarceration is necessary for  
17 punishment.

18 And, again, I think for somebody of his age to have  
19 a felony record and to have been under supervision for this  
20 long and possibly to be under additional supervision if the  
21 Court imposes it, I think that's an adequate punishment and  
22 should not be ignored.

23 In terms of rehabilitation and the need for, you  
24 know, correctional assistance, medical assistance, for reasons  
25 that have already been discussed, that it would be counter to

1 that important goal. And that purpose, I think, is often not  
2 discussed enough. But it's just as important as punishment.  
3 And, in this case, I think it would be overly punitive to  
4 incarcerate Mr. Gary, given his medical condition.

5 In addition to the ones that have been really  
6 highlighted for the Court in a memorandum by probation, his  
7 spinal stenosis is very significant, as well. He has a very  
8 difficult time walking. And even in the BOP facilities that  
9 supposedly provide medical care and have hospitals with them,  
10 my office's experience, especially in this past year, is that  
11 they're not adequately tending to people's medical needs. So  
12 for that, I would just highlight that, as well, in addition to  
13 what I've already submitted in writing and ask the Court to  
14 impose a sentence that does not have custody.

15 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything you want to add  
16 to your submission?

17 MR. GRUBIN: Unless Your Honor has questions, no.

18 THE COURT: No, I don't, but thank you.

19 All right. Mr. Gary, is there anything you want to  
20 say?

21 THE DEFENDANT: I've been thinking over the years.  
22 And, yeah, I'm very remorseful of my part in this situation.  
23 And I've been working hard over the last almost four years to  
24 get myself back on track --

25 THE COURT: Mr. Gary, I'm so sorry to interrupt you.

1 Do you mind turning on your microphone?

2 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, I thought it was on already.

3 THE COURT: I heard you, but I just want to make  
4 sure the court reporter can get everything.

5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I'm very sorry in my role in  
6 this situation. And I've been working very hard over the last  
7 four years to get myself back into being a productive member  
8 of this society. And, so far, I feel good about the direction  
9 I've been going into in the last four years.

10 THE COURT: What do you feel has changed?

11 Are you doing something new that you weren't doing  
12 before?

13 THE DEFENDANT: Part-time work, monthly work for two  
14 different companies and going to the CSEDNY for treatment.  
15 That's been really, really good for me.

16 THE COURT: Are you still involved in treatment?

17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

18 THE COURT: And how long have you been in treatment?

19 THE DEFENDANT: Almost two years.

20 THE COURT: And what is it that you find helpful  
21 about the -- I mean, obviously, the treatment aspect. But  
22 what else? What do you find helpful?

23 I'm always interested to hear what people find  
24 helpful about the programs.

25 THE DEFENDANT: The camaraderie with the groups, you

1 know, how we can share informations and talk about our  
2 problems which is usually something I can't do outside of  
3 court, because, you know, everybody is living their lives. So  
4 it's usually hard. So going there once a week has been a big  
5 help for me.

6 THE COURT: I'm sure it's helpful to the other  
7 participants in the program.

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

9 THE COURT: I interrupted you.  
10 Anything else that you wanted to say?

11 THE DEFENDANT: No, no. Thank you.

12 THE COURT: All right. Well, as Courts do in every  
13 case in imposing sentence, I am required, and I did, calculate  
14 the appropriate advisory guidelines range. But, as I said  
15 before, I think the guidelines are a place to begin. But, in  
16 my view, this is not a guidelines case. And so, obviously, in  
17 that situation, and in every situation, I also considered the  
18 statutory factors in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a), and the point  
19 of that is to make sure the sentence imposed is sufficient,  
20 but not greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of  
21 sentencing.

22 Those purposes include the need that the sentence  
23 reflect the seriousness of the crime, that it promote respect  
24 for the law, that it be fair and just, that it act as a  
25 deterrent to Mr. Gary, but to anybody else who would think

1 about doing the same thing, that the public be protected from  
2 future crimes by the defendant, and that the defendant receive  
3 any rehabilitation that he might need.

4 And I've also considered the need to avoid  
5 unwarranted sentencing disparities. I also have considered  
6 the details of the offense, as well as Mr. Gary's  
7 characteristics and his history. And because, you know, this  
8 case was reassigned to me, I took some special care to make  
9 sure I was acquainted with not just the case but with  
10 Mr. Gary's background and characteristic.

11 I don't think there's any question that this is a  
12 serious case, and it's a serious offense, and it's spread over  
13 a considerable period of time. And while the Government  
14 couldn't identify a particular loss, certainly the potential  
15 for loss and the intended loss, at least by whoever was  
16 running this, was certainly considerable.

17 On the positive side, though, Mr. Gary took  
18 responsibility immediately for his role in this. He was  
19 cooperative with the Government. I think 54 is young. But it  
20 is true that to start out at 54 with -- it's clearly an  
21 aberration in your life because you've never had any  
22 involvement with the criminal justice system.

23 I also, you know, in terms of rehabilitation, taking  
24 control of your problem with drugs is all part of that. And  
25 so I take that into account, too. I also consider that

1 Mr. Gary is struggling with some serious health concerns, and  
2 I take that into account, as well.

3 So applying those statutory factors, I don't think  
4 that an incarceratory sentence would serve the purposes of  
5 sentencing, and so therefore, I impose a sentence of  
6 time-served, with two years of supervised release. The  
7 conditions of the release are a search condition, and that is  
8 layed out in probation's recommendation. I can read it. I'm  
9 required to put it on the record, but Mr. Sundaram, have you  
10 and Mr. Gary discussed it?

11 MR. SUNDARAM: I did discuss the recommendation with  
12 him. I don't remember if I specifically went over the search  
13 condition. But he's reviewed all the documents, including the  
14 presentence report and the recommendation.

15 THE COURT: All right. Do you want me -- it is  
16 layed out in there.

17 Do you want to consult with him and see if you want  
18 me to read it into the record?

19 MR. SUNDARAM: Sure.

20 (Pause in the proceedings.)

21 THE COURT: I probably spent more time asking the  
22 question than if I had just read it. You know what I'm going  
23 to do, let me just read it. It is -- I'm, in part,  
24 summarizing.

25 This condition, Mr. Gary, requires that you submit,



1 not just yourself, but your property, your house, residence,  
2 vehicle, any kind of electronic communications or data  
3 storage, computers, or office to a search by probation. If  
4 you fail to submit to a search under this condition, this  
5 could be grounds for a revocation of release.

6           You have to warn anybody else who's on the premises  
7 that they might be subject to searches pursuant to this  
8 condition. An officer may conduct a search pursuant to this  
9 condition only when there's reasonable suspicion that Mr. Gary  
10 has violated a condition of supervised release and that the  
11 places to be searched contain evidence of the violation. A  
12 search has to be done in a reasonable way and at a reasonable  
13 time.

14           There's also a \$100 special assessment.

15           And there are no counts to dismiss, are there?

16           MR. GRUBIN: No, Your Honor.

17           THE COURT: All right. So I think what that leaves  
18 is for me to advise Mr. Gary of his right to appeal. You did  
19 agree, I think, as part of that plea agreement, not to appeal  
20 your sentence, as long as I impose a term of imprisonment  
21 57 months or shorter. I've clearly done that. But you can  
22 still appeal if you believe that your guilty plea was unlawful  
23 or involuntary or if there was some fundamental defect in the  
24 proceedings that you didn't waive when you pled guilty.

25           Any notice of appeal has to be filed within 14 days

1 of the filing of the entry of judgment or within 14 days of  
2 the filing of a notice of appeal by the Government. If you  
3 ask, the Clerk will prepare and file a notice of appeal for  
4 you. If you can't afford to pay for an appeal or for an  
5 appellate lawyer, you have the right to apply for leave to  
6 appeal in forma pauperis. That means that you can apply to  
7 have the Court waive the filing fee. You can also apply for  
8 court-appointed counsel.

9 I did sign a preliminary order of forfeiture, and so  
10 I think I just have to pronounce that on the record.

11 Anything else that I forgot to do?

12 MR. GRUBIN: Just that. No, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: All right. Anything else from  
14 probation?

15 MS. BAUMANN: No, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Anything from the defense?

17 MR. SUNDARAM: No, thank you.

18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gary, I wish you  
19 continued success in your recovery.

20 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

21 THE COURT: And good luck to you.

22 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

23 (Whereupon, the matter was concluded.)

24 \* \* \* \* \*

25  
*AVERY N. ARMSTRONG, RPR*

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the  
record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Avery N. Armstrong

September 2, 2023